

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 01857 050317Z

12  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CU-02 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-02 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 DODE-00

/037 W

----- 130662

R 041725Z APR 75  
FM USMISSION NATO  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1037  
INFO USIA WASHDC

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE USNATO 1857

E.O. 11652: N/A  
TAGS: PFOR, NATO, CSCE  
SUBJECT: MEETING OF COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION AND CULTURAL  
RELATIONS, APRIL 4, 1975

REF: A. USNATO 1282; B. STATE 47473; C. STATE 58933; D. USNATO 1796

BEGIN SUMMARY. COMMITTEE DECIDED THAT DIRECTOR OF INFORMATION  
AND PRESS SPOKESMAN SHOULD REPORT TO SENIOR POLITICAL COMMITTEE  
ON ANTICIPATED DAY-TO-DAY PUBLIC AFFAIRS PROBLEMS LIKELY TO  
ARISE FROM CONCLUSION OF CSCE. CANADA SUGGESTED ABOLISHING  
NATO FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM. END SUMMARY.

### I. NATO INFORMATION PROBLEMS INCLUDING ANTI-WESTERN PROPAGANDA

1. NETHERLANDS REP REPORTED BRIEFLY ON PUBLIC OPINION POLL  
INDICATING SUBSTANTIAL LEVEL OF SUPPORT FOR NATO IN NETHERLANDS.  
PROMISED WRITTEN SUMMARY NEXT WEEK. (MISSION WILL TRANSMIT BY  
AIRGRAM WHEN RECEIVED.)

### II. PREPARATION FOR CONFERENCE OF NATIONAL INFORMATION OFFICIALS (CONIO)

2. US REP SUGGESTED THAT CSCE ITEM WHICH WAS PROPOSED FOR  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 NATO 01857 050317Z

CONIO AGENDA AT PREVIOUS CICR MEETING BE ALTERED TO TAKE INTO  
ACCOUNT FACT THAT PUBLIC AFFAIRS GUIDELINES ON RESULTS OF CSCE  
ARE NOW BEING DEVELOPED AND COORDINATED IN SENIOR POLITICAL  
COMMITTEE. US REP SAID THAT CONIO DISCUSSION OF SPC PAPER AND

IMPLEMENTATION OF ITS PUBLIC AFFAIRS GUIDELINES COULD HAVE CONSIDERABLE VALUE. CHAIRMAN KOREN AGREED. NO NATIONAL REPRESENTATIVES RAISED ANY OBJECTIONS TO AMERICAN AGENDA ITEMS FOR CONIO; MOST SEEMED NOT TO HAVE RECEIVED ANY SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS.

### III. NATO RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

3. CANADIAN REP SAID THAT HIS GOVERNMENT WOULD FAVOR A LARGE INCREASE IN THE AMOUNT OF INDIVIDUAL GRANTS SO THAT HIGH QUALITY CANDIDATES COULD BE ATTRACTED. SAID, HOWEVER, THAT THIS WAS UNLIKELY SINCE IT WOULD REQUIRE EITHER AN INCREASE IN THE TOTAL FELLOWSHIP BUDGET OR AGREEMENT TO MAKE A MUCH SMALLER NUMBER OF GRANTS. CANADIAN GOVERNMENT THEREFORE FAVORED ABOLITION OF FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM WITH MONEY BEING USED FOR OTHER CULTURAL-TYPE PROGRAMS--FOR EXAMPLE, COMMISSIONING RESEARCH STUDIES ON NATO BY ESTABLISHED SCHOLARS.

4. IN THE ABSENCE OF INTERNATIONAL STAFF OFFICIALS RESPONSIBLE FOR FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM, CHAIRMAN ASKED THAT FURTHER DISCUSSION BE DEFERRED UNTIL NEXT MEETING.

### IV. PUBLIC INFORMATION ASPECTS OF POSSIBLE CSCE AGREEMENT

5. CHAIRMAN KOREN SAID THAT SPC HAD ASKED THAT INFORMATION COMMITTEE IDENTIFY PROBLEMS WHICH MIGHT ARISE IN IMPLEMENTING PUBLIC INFORMATION POLICY AT THE CONCLUSION OF CSCE. KOREN AND NATO PRESS SPOKESMAN KYD OUTLINED SOME OF PROBLEMS AND CONCLUSIONS WHICH THEY FORESEE ARISING AND FOR WHICH GUIDELINES OF SENIOR POLITICAL COMMITTEE WOULD BE USEFUL.--

A. IF CSCE IS TOUTED AS GREAT VICTORY FOR SOVIET DIPLOMACY, WILL THERE BE IMPLICATION OF DEFEAT FOR WESTERN DIPLOMACY?

B. WHAT HAPPENED TO "LINKAGE" THAT WEST HAD ORIGINALLY INSISTED ON BETWEEN MBFR AND CSCE?

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 NATO 01857 050317Z

C. WHAT WILL BE THE ROLE OF NATO IN THE PURSUIT OF DETENTE AFTER THE TERMINATION OF CSCE?

D. WILL THE CONCLUSION OF CSCE AND ITS ATTENDANT ATMOSPHERE OF EAST-WEST DETENTE TEND TO DIMINISH THE WILL TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE AND CREDIBLE DEFENSE FOR NATO?

6. US REP SAID HE WELCOMED THIS OPPORTUNITY TO INSERT SUCH PRACTICAL PUBLIC AFFAIRS ELEMENTS INTO SPC DISCUSSIONS. ADDED THAT HE WISHED TO MAKE GENERAL COMMENT ON INTERNATIONAL STAFF REVISED VERSION OF DRAFT PAPER ON "PUBLIC INFORMATION ASPECTS OF A POSSIBLE CSCE AGREEMENT" (REF D,) WHICH WOULD ANTICIPATE

MORE FORMAL STATEMENT TO BE MADE BY US REP AT NEXT MEETING OF SPC. IN CONSIDERING DRAFT PAPER, DISTINCTION SHOULD BE MADE BETWEEN PUBLIC AFFAIRS PROBLEM OF "DETENTE EUPHORIA" AND BROAD CONTEXT IN WHICH THIS PROBLEM SHOULD BE TREATED; THAT IS, IT SEEMS SELF-DEFEATING FOR PUBLIC AFFAIRS SPOKESMAN OF NATO AND NATO COUNTRIES TO LEAD OFF A DISCUSSION OF CSCE IN A DEFENSIVE POSE OF DENYING WARSAW PACT ASSERTIONS THAT THE CONFERENCE WAS A SUCCESS. THIS WOULD CARRY THE IMPLICATION THAT NATO NATIONS HAD SOMEHOW SURRENDERED THEIR WILL OR HAD BEEN DUPED INTO ATTENDING A CSCE SUMMIT.

7. RATHER THE APPROACH SHOULD BE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT CSCE HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL ENOUGH TO WARRANT THE ATTENDANCE OF WESTERN HEADS OF STATE AT CONCLUDING SUMMIT AND TO OUTLINE POSITIVE ACHIEVEMENTS. AT THIS POINT, A HOST OF CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS CAN AND SHOULD BE MADE TO INDICATE THAT WHILE THE CONFERENCE WAS A SUCCESS, IT IS BUT ONE STEP ON THE WAY TO FULL DETENTE, THAT THE NEED FOR ADEQUATE MILITARY DEFENSE IS UNDIMINISHED, THAT THE REAL MEASURE OF CSCE'S SUCCESS LIES IN THE DEGREE TO WHICH PARTICIPATING NATIONS LIVE UP TO THEIR COMMITMENTS IN FUTURE, ETC.

8. REPRESENTATIVES OF FRANCE, GERMANY, ITALY AND NETHERLANDS MADE BRIEF STATEMENTS UNDERLINING IMPORTANCE OF EFFECTIVE PUBLIC AFFAIRS POLICY WHICH WOULD AVOID NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF "DETENTE EUPHORIA". HOWEVER, NO DELEGATIONS SHOWED INTEREST IN HAVING THIS SUBJECT DISCUSSED IN DETAIL IN CICR.

9. KOREN SUGGESTED THAT INFORMATION SERVICE AND PRESS SERVICE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 NATO 01857 050317Z

PREPARE JOINTLY A DOCUMENT FOR SPC WHICH WOULD OUTLINE FUTURE PUBLIC AFFAIRS PROBLEMS WITH REGARD TO THE CONCLUSION OF CSCE WHICH THEY ARE ABLE TO PERCEIVE FROM THEIR DAY-TO-DAY DEALINGS WITH PUBLIC AND PRESS. KOREN PROPOSED THAT KYD MAKE ORAL PRESENTATION TO SPC ON APRIL 7 WITH WRITTEN DOCUMENT TO BE FORWARDED TO SPC THE FOLLOWING WEEK. COMMITTEE AGREED TO THIS PROPOSAL.

IV. OTHER BUSINESS

9. NEXT MEETING SET FOR APRIL 18.  
PEREZ

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 04 APR 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** MorefiRH  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO01857  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197504101/abbrzjeo.tel  
**Line Count:** 157  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** A. USNATO 1282; B. STATE 47473; C. STATE 58933; D. USNATO 1796  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** MorefiRH  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 30 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <30 APR 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <20 MAY 2003 by MorefiRH>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** MEETING OF COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION AND CULTURAL RELATIONS, APRIL 4, 1975  
**TAGS:** PFOR, NATO, CSCE  
**To:** STATE INFO USIA  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006